

ICC (ASC A117) CONSENSUS COMMITTEE ON ACCESSIBLE AND USABLE BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

A117.1 Committee Minutes #37 Thursday, July 27, 2023 12-4 Eastern

Chair: Ken Schoonover

Vice-chair: Gina Hilberry

Secretariat: Karl Aittaniemi; kaittaniemi@iccsafe; 888-422-7233, Ext. 4205

Please send requests for accommodations to Karl at least 5 business days before the call.

1) Welcome and Introductions

1) Call to order – the meeting was called to order at 12:00 Eastern

2) Meeting attendance:

Committee members: Ken Schoonover, Chair, Individual Member

Tara Barthelmess, NCDOI & OSFM, Principal

Gene Boecker – NATO alternate

Kevin Brinkman, NEII, Principal

Dan Buuck, NAHB, Principal

Kevin Carr, NFPA, Principal

John Catlett, JD Catlett Consulting LLC for BOMA (Principal)

Shannon Chasteen, AEMA, Principal

Bill Conner – alternate – ASTC

David Cooper, SMA, Principal

Glenn Dea, International Sign Association (ISA), Principal

Brad Gaskins, NACS, Principal

Alan Gettelman, Individual Member

John S. Gonzalez, ICC (alternate)

Glenn Hedman, RESNA, Alternate

Gina Hilberry, Vice-Chair, UCP Principal

Robert Kelly Montgomery County Member, Principal

Matt Lescher, NATO, Principal

George Lim, SEG, Alternate

Allison Lourash, LPA, Principal

Simon Majarian, SEG – Alternate

Carolyn Majowka, VBCOA, Alternate

Marsha Mazz, United Spinal Association, Principal

Jeffrey Munsterteiger, Ne 612 792 reW*nBTnB7(r, N)-4(e 612 792 re/Lang (en-US)Lang (en-US)

C. Administrative items

The revised proposals are available at - [A117.1 Public proposals 2-8-2022](#).

Information on the meetings and development of the 2023 edition of the standard will be posted at [A117.1 webpage](#)

Balli 637.3 Tm0 g0 G 0.036 Tc{Ba)JfJETQq0.00000912 0 612 792 reW*0 0 1

Should the exceptions for the entire standard be located under this section – example A203.1. Dan Buuck to submit modification.

It was requested that a comparison matrix should be provided to the committee for reasoning.

The chair for the working group, Gina Hilberry, stated that the committee started with a matrix, but it was split up and not recombined during the work group development process. There were multiple iterations.

The document does pull criteria from the 2010 ADA S

Clusters – if this is for bathrooms (also sauna and steam rooms), there could be back to back items that are accessed from different tenants. This should be addressed in the exception and the definition removed – or come up with a different approach.

Change of occupancy is treated the same as an alteration, so this definition should be removed and A204.5 can be revised same as IEBC.

Change of occupancy – what happens if this changes in the IEBC over time.

Employee work area is important to the scoping – should it be included in Appendix A? The work group responded that if it was in Section 107.5, it did not need to be repeated.

Historic Buildings – IEBC has moved this definition forward. This needs to be updated. However, this will be a continuing issue with liability and cost concerns.

Limited access space – the exception is in A202.2.3 General exception, not in a definition. Delete

Machinery space - the exception should be in A202.2.4 General exception, not in a definition. Delete

Public

Should 'structure' be added? That could result in including retaining walls, fences, etc.

'Facility' is a term that is not person centered – However, this is needed for a group of buildings that operate together as one facility or several tenants/facilities in the same. Facility is defined in the ICC A117.1.

A202.2.3 General exceptions

Why are some of the other exceptions in the IBC not in this list – places or religious worship, day care facilities?

Why does the exceptions exempt the elements from accessibility and the route.

The route provisions say connect accessible elements – so this is redundant. It could be implied that everything other non-accessible element does not have to be on a route. Marsha explained that the route is a separate requirement, so these spaces needed to be exempt from both.

A202.2.3 – Limited Access – delete definition in favor of text here.

A202.2.4 – Machinery spaces - delete definition in favor of text here.

A202.2.5 – Single occupant structures – change to match IBC toll booths. Better understanding and compliance.

Start next meeting with A202.2.8

Start next meeting with A202.2.8.

The chair indicated that discussion will proceed in sequence from beginning to end rather than jumping around in the proposal and instructed the meeting participants to prepare for the next meeting by looking ahead and being prepared to discuss the next block(s) of subject matter. It would be preferable (not required, just preferable) to wait to submit modifications until after a block of text has been discussed and develop and submit modifications to that text at the following meeting. Provide modifications to staff using the modification [form](#) posted on ICC A117.1 webpage under Administration.

The chair requested that th

The title could be misread to not have this apply to bars, lunch rooms, etc. – maybe ‘dining and drinking areas’. This might be easier if we used IBC use groups. Opposite side – groups are based on risk and may not work with accessibility.

Exception 2 – ‘décor’ is way to open for interpretation

Exception 4 – why is this needed – this is employees areas that are within a dining or drinking area, like the area behind a bar or in front of a check out stations/table bussing station.

A202.3.2.8 Performance areas. –

The last sentence for raised platforms is

A202.7.1 Entrances. Don't need to repeat requirements for routes every time – already addressed. Chair indicated that there can be correlative pieces that appear in other sections.

For counting doors for entry, should there be an exception for doors that are exit only, or service entrances other than A202.7.1.8? Would that clarify how to qualify and entrance vs. all doors?

A202.7.1.1 Public entrances. Questions on to what is a public entrance, especially if the entrances are secured or indicated as limited (e.g. key pad or marked 'employee only'). Would this exceed FHA by requiring 60% for access to the building? Think two entrance building that leads to individual dwellings on the hallway.

A202.7.1.2 Parki36 Tc().)JTJET@.00000912 0 612 792 reW*nBTF2 12 Tf1 0 0 74F2 12 Tf46-3(i51.34 12

Modification 7 – A203.7.2; AM 23-2-0

Mod to A202.2.12 - delete first sentence and add ‘only’ – Approved 24-1-0

Modification 8 – B n B exception; AS 20-0-0

Modification 9 – withdrawn

Modification 10 ~~is~~ withdrawn

Modifications for

A202.3 Accessible Routes

Modification 1 – split into 3 parts

Level vs. story – modification to delete ‘including’ 23-0-0; AM 20-0-0

Occupiable roofs – AS 24-1-0

1.5 4 or more dwellings - tabled

Modification 2 – withdrawn; addressed by Modification 1

Modification 3 – withdrawn

Modification 4 – AM 20-0-0

Modification to Exception 4 to move phrase to front – 23-0-0

Mod 2 and Mod 4 delete ‘dormitory’ in Exception 4 – 20-1-0

07-27-2023 Meeting:

Modifications for the following were sent to the committee:

A202.2 General exceptions.

A202.3 Accessible Routes

A202.4 Accessible Means of Egress

A202.5 Stairways

A202.6 Operable parts

Modifications for –

A202.2 General exceptions – see report of committee actions.

A202.2 Mod 4 revised – taken off table; Mod – 23-2-

A202.6 Operable parts

F) New business:

G) Future meetings:

- 1) Calls will be every other Thursday,