

CP#28-05 – Code Development

Approved: 09/24/057 (e)-0.6 (v)1006048i

determines that an emergency amendment to any Code or supplement thereto is warranted, the same may be adopted by the ICC Board. Such action shall require an affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the ICC Board.

The ICC membership shall be notified within ten days after the ICC Boards' official action of any emergency amendment. At the next Annual Business Meeting, any emergency amendment shall be presented to the members for ratification by a majority of the Governmental Member Voting Representatives and Honorary Members present and voting.

All code revisions pursuant to these emergency procedures and the reasons for such corrective action shall be published as soon as practicable after ICC Board action. Such revisions shall be identified as an emergency amendment.

Emergency amendments to any Code shall not be considered as a retro-active requirement to the Code. Incorporation of the emergency amendment into the adopted Code shall be subjected to the process established by the adopting authority.

2.3.2 Interim Critical Amendments (ICA)

2.3.2.1 Submittal. Anyone may propose an ICA by providing the following information:

- a) Name of submitter
- b) Contact information
- c) Submitters representation
- d) Date
- e) Relevant section(s) and code edition(s) under consideration
- f) Proposed modifications with text changes identified using underlines for new text and strikethroughs for deleted text
- g) A statement that substantiates the need for proposed changes and why the proposed submission is of such a critical nature in accordance with Section 2.3.2.3 that it cannot be left to be addressed during the next code development cycle.
- h) Written endorsement of the proposed ICA by not less than two members of the Committee(s) responsible for maintaining the affected code section(s)

2.3.2.2 Preliminary Review. An ICA will only be processed if the Codes

00005 (m) 2.4 (s) - 05 (title) De 00057 (0, 091 (514) 11 but be I be lopusA-40.

- a) The proposed ICA corrects an error or an omission that was overlooked during a regular code development process.
- b) The proposed ICA resolves a conflict within an individual code or a conflict involving two or more ICC codes.
- c) The proposed ICA mitigates a previously unknown hazard.

2.3.2.4 Committee. A proposed ICA that meets the provisions in Sections 2.3.2.2 and 2.3.2.3 shall be submitted to the Committee(s) responsible for the affected section(s) for a ballot and comment period of 30 calendar days. The Committee(s) shall be separately balloted on both the technical merit of the ICA and whether the ICA satisfies the critical nature criteria. Negative votes in the initial ballot, if any, shall require a reason statement and shall be circulated to the full Committee(s) to allow initial ballot votes to be changed.

A Committee recommendation for approval shall require an affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of members who voted, on both technical merit and critical nature. The following shall be omitted from the three-fourths vote calculation:

- a) Committee members who have abstained.
- b) Committee members whose negative ballots do not include a statement conveying the reason for casting a negative vote.
- c) Committee members who do not return their ballots prior to the announced ballot return deadline.

In addition to the three-fourths majority described above, the number of affirmative votes shall be not less than 50% of all Committee members who are eligible to vote. Committee members eligible to vote shall be the total number of individuals who are members of the Committee on the date of ballot distribution and shall not be adjusted based on abstentions or ballots that were not returned.

ICAs that achieve the required number of affirmative votes on both technical merit and critical nature are approved for further processing in accordance with Sections 2.3.2.5 through 2.3.2.9. ICAs that do not achieve the required number of affirmative votes on both technical merit and critical nature are rejected.

2.3.2.5 Publication of Proposed ICA for Comment. An ICA that is approved in accordance with Section 2.3.2.3 shall be published by ICC in appropriate media with a notice inviting the public to

6. Audio and video recording of the Public Comment Hearing (Section 10.0)
7. The Online Governmental Consensus Ballot (Section 11.3)
8. Final Action results (Section 13.4)
9. Errata to the documents noted above

The information resulting from online collaboration between interested parties shall not be part of the code development record.

3.0 Restructured Process Starting in 2024 (NEW)

- 3.1 Process:** The 2027 I-Codes, and future editions, shall be developed utilizing a restructured code development process starting in 2024. The process includes the following key process steps:

YEAR ONE

- Code Group A code change proposals due (see Section 4.0)
- Code Group A First Committee Action Hearing (CAH #1) (see Section 6.0)
- Code Group A comments due on the action taken at CAH #1 (see Section 7.0)
- Code Group A Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH #2) (see Section 8.0)

YEAR TWO

- Code Group B code change proposals due (see Section 4.0)
- Code Group A public comments due (see Section 9.0)
- Code Group B First Committee Action Hearing (CAH #1) (see Section 6.0)
- Code Group B comments due on the action taken at CAH #1 (see Section 7.0)
- Code Group B Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH # 2) (see Section 8.0)

YEAR THREE

- Code Group B public comments due (see Section 9.0)
- Combined Code Group A & B Public Comment Hearing (see Section 10.0)
- Combined Code Group A & B Online Governmental Consensus Vote (see

x

shall be submitted separately and shall be complete in-itself. Each submittal shall contain the following information:

4.3.1 Proponent: Each code change proposal shall include the name, title and email address of the proponent. Email addresses shall be published with the code change proposals unless the proponent otherwise requests on the submittal form.

4.3.1.1 If a group, organization or Committee submits a code change proposal, an individual with prime responsibility shall be indicated.

4.3.1.2 If a proponent submits a code change proposal on behalf of a client, group, organization or Committee, the name and email address of the client, group, organization, or Committee shall be indicated.

4.3.2 Code Reference: Each code change proposal shall relate to the applicable code sections(s) in the latest edition of the Code.

4.3.2.1 If more than one section in the Code is affected by a code change proposal, appropriate proposals shall be included for all such affected sections.

4.3.2.2 If more than one Code is affected by a code change proposal, appropriate proposals shall be included for all such affected Codes and appropriate cross referencing shall be included in the supporting information.

4.3.3 Multiple Code Change Proposals to a Code Section. A proponent shall not submit multiple code change proposals to the same code section. Where a proponent submits multiple code change proposals to the same section, the proposals shall be considered as incomplete proposals and processed in accordance with Section 5.3. This restriction shall not apply to code change proposals that attempt to address differing subject matter within a code section.

4.3.4 Text Presentation: The text of the code change proposal shall be presented in the specific wording desired with deletions shown struck out with a single line and additions shown underlined with a single line.

4.3.4.1 A charging statement shall indicate the referenced code section(s) and whether the code change proposal is intended to be an addition, deletion, or a revision to existing Code text.

4.3.4.2 Whenever practical, the existing wording of the text shall be preserved with only such deletions and additions as necessary to accomplish the desired change.

4.3.4.3 Each code change proposal shall be in proper code format and terminology.

4.3.4.4 Each code change proposal shall be complete and specific in the text to eliminate unnecessary confusion or misinterpretation.

4.3.4.5 The proposed text shall be in mandatory terms.

4.3.5 Supporting Information: Each code change proposal shall include sufficient supporting information to indicate how the code change proposal is intended to affect the intent and application of the Code.

- 4.3.5.1 Purpose:** The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the code change proposal (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for current provisions of the Code; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.).
- 4.3.5.2 Reasons:** The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the code change proposal is superior to the current provisions of the Code. Code change proposals which add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current Code provisions are inadequate or overly restrictive, specifies the shortcomings of the current Code provisions and explains how such code change proposals will improve the Code.
- 4.3.5.3 Substantiation:** The proponent shall substantiate the code change proposal based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed in accordance with Section 5.2 and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the code change proposal may be identified as such. The proponent shall be notified that the code change proposal is considered an incomplete proposal in accordance with Section 5.3 and the proposal shall be held until the deficiencies are corrected. The proponent shall have the right to appeal this action in accordance with CP#1 - Appeals. The burden of providing substantiating material lies with the proponent of the code change proposal. Supporting documentation may be provided via a link to a website provided by the proponent and included in the reason statement. The reason statement shall include the date the link was created. All substantiating material published by ICC is material that has been provided by the proponent and in so publishing ICC makes no representations or warranties about its quality or accuracy.
- 4.3.5.4 Bibliography:** The proponent shall submit a bibliography of any substantiating material submitted with the code change proposal. The bibliography shall be published with the code change proposal and the proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review at the appropriate ICC office and during the public hearing. Supporting documentation may be provided via a link to a website provided by the proponent and included in the bibliography. The reason statement shall include the date the link was created.
- 4.3.5.5 Copyright Release:** The proponent of code change proposals, floor modifications, comments to Committee action and public comments shall sign a copyright release developed and posted by ICC.
- 4.3.5.6 Cost Impact:** The proponent of the code change proposal, floor modification, and comments shall provide a cost impact statement in accordance with Section 17.0.
- 4.4 Online Submittal:** Each code change proposal and all substantiating information shall be submitted online via cdpACCESS. One copy of each proposed new

referenced standard in electronic form shall be submitted to staff. Additional electronic copies may be requested when determined necessary by the Secretariat to allow such information to be distributed to the Committee. Where such additional copies are requested, it shall be the responsibility of the proponent to secure permission to post the proposed new reference standard on a secure ICC website for Committee viewing. In lieu of electronic copies, hard copies are acceptable.

4.5 Submittal Deadline: ICC shall establish and post the submittal deadline for each cycle in accordance with Section 3.2. The posting of the deadline shall occur no later than 120 days prior to the code change deadline. Each code change proposal shall be submitted online via cdpACCESS by the posted deadline. The submitter of a code change proposal is responsible for the proper and timely receipt of all pertinent materials by the Secretariat.

4.6 Referenced Standards: In order for a standard to be considered for reference or to continue to be referenced by the Codes, a standard shall meet the following criteria:

4.6.1 Code References:

4.6.1.1 The standard, including title and date, and the manner in which it is to be utilized shall be specifically referenced in the Code text.

4.6.1.2 The need for the standard to be referenced shall be established.

4.6.2 Standard Content:

4.6.2.1 A standard or portions of a standard intended to be enforced shall be written in mandatory language.

4.6.2.2 The standard shall be appropriate for the subject covered.

4.6.2.3 All terms shall be defined when they deviate from an ordinarily accepted meaning or a dictionary definition.

4.6.2.4 The scope or application of a standard shall be clearly described.

4.6.2.5 The standard shall not have the effect of requiring proprietary materials.

4.6.2.6 The standard shall not prescribe a proprietary agency for quality control or testing.

Code text which include a reference to a proposed new standard, or a proposed update of an existing referenced standard shall comply with this section.

4.6.3.1.1 Proposed New Standards. In order for a new standard to be considered for reference by the Code, such standard shall be submitted in at least a consensus draft form in accordance with Section 4.4. If the proposed new standard is not submitted in at least consensus draft form, the code change proposal shall be considered incomplete and shall not be

Hearing. See Section 10.5.6.1 for availability of updated standards at the Public Comment Hearing.

Updating of standards without corresponding code text changes shall be accomplished administratively in accordance with Section 5.6.

4.6.4 Standard Promulgation: The standard shall be developed and maintained through a consensus process such as ASTM or ANSI.

5.0 Processing of Code Change Proposals

5.1 Intent: The processing of code change proposals is intended to ensure that each proposal complies with these Rules of Procedure and that the resulting published code change proposal accurately reflects that proponent's intent.

5.2 Review: Upon receipt in the Secretariat's office, the code change proposals will be checked for compliance with these Rules of Procedure as to division, separation, form, language, terminology, supporting statements and substantiating data. Where a code change proposal consists of multiple parts which fall under the maintenance responsibilities of different Committees, the Secretariat shall determine the Committee responsible for determining the Committee action in accordance with Section 6.6 and the Group A and Group B Code Development Committee Responsibilities Matrix (see Section 1.3.1).

5.3 Incomplete Code Change Proposals: When a code change proposal is submitted with incorrect format, without the required information or judged as not in compliance with these Rules of Procedure, the Secretariat shall notify the proponent of the specific deficiencies and the proposal shall be held until the deficiencies are corrected, with a final date set for receipt of a corrected submittal. If the Secretariat receives the corrected code change proposal after the final date, the proposal shall be held over until the next code development cycle. Where there are otherwise no deficiencies addressed by this section, a code change proposal that incorporates a new referenced standard shall be processed with an analysis of the

Such editorial style or format changes shall not affect the scope or application of the Code requirements.

5.6 Updating Standards Referenced in the Codes: Standards referenced by the Codes that do not require coordination with a code change proposal to the Code text shall be identified administratively by staff and considered by the Administrative Committee in accordance with these full procedures except that the deadline for availability of the updated standard and receipt by the Secretariat shall be December 1 of the third year of each code cycle. The published version of the new edition of the Code which references the standard will refer to the updated edition of the standard. If the standard is not available by the December 1st deadline, the edition of the standard as referenced by the newly published Code shall revert back to the reference contained in the previous edition and an errata to the Code issued. Multiple standards to be updated may be included in a single proposal.

5.6.1 Updating ICC Standards Referenced in the Codes. All standards developed by ICC and referenced by the Codes which are undergoing an update shall be announced by ICC to allow stakeholders to participate in the update process. Where the updated standard is completed and available by December 1 of the third year of the code cycle, the published version of the new edition of the Code which references the standard shall refer to the updated edition of the standard. If the standard is not available by the December 1st deadline, the edition of the standard as referenced by the newly published Code shall revert back to the reference contained in the previous edition and an errata to the Code issued.

5.7 Preparation: All code change proposals in compliance with these procedures shall be prepared in a standard manner by the Secretariat and be assigned separate, distinct, and consecutive numbers. The Secretariat shall coordinate related proposals submitted in accordance with Section 4.3.2 to facilitate the hearing process.

5.8 Code Change Agenda: All code change proposals shall be posted on the ICC website at least 30 days prior to the First Committee Action Hearing (CAH #1) on those proposals and shall constitute the agenda for the hearing. Any errata to the Code Change Agenda shall be posted on the ICC website as soon as possible. Code change proposals which have not been published in the original posting or subsequent errata shall not be considered.

6.0 First Committee Action Hearing (CAH #1)

6.1 Intent: The intent of the First Committee Action Hearing (CAH #1) is to permit interested parties to present their views including the cost and benefits on the code change proposals on the published agenda. The Committee will consider such comments as may be presented in the development of their action on the disposition of such code change proposals.

6.2 Code Development Committee: The Codes and Standards Council shall review all applications and make Committee appointment recommendations to the ICC Board. The Committees shall be appointed by the ICC Board. (See Section 1.6 for terminology).

6.2.1 Chairperson/Moderator: The Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson shall be selected by the Codes and Standards Council from the appointed members

of the Committee. The ICC President shall appoint one or more Moderators who shall act as presiding officer for the

in a logical order to facilitate the hearing. Any public hearing attendee may move to revise the agenda order as the first order of business at the hearing, or at any time during the hearing except while another code change proposal is being discussed. Preference shall be given to grouping like subjects together, and for moving items back to a later position on the agenda as opposed to moving items forward to an earlier position.

6.4.4.1 Proponent Approval: A motion to revise the agenda order is considered in order unless the proponent(s) of the moved code change proposals are in attendance in the hearing room and object to the move. Where such objections are raised, the motion to revise the hearing order shall be ruled out of order by the Moderator. The ruling of the Moderator shall be final and not subject to a point of order in accordance with Section 6.4.8. The motion to change the hearing order is not debatable.

6.4.4.2 Revised Agenda Order Approved: A motion to revise the agenda order is subject to a 2/3 vote of those present.

6.4.5 Tabling: Tabling of code change proposals shall be permitted. The motion to table is considered in order unless the proponent(s) of the tabled code change proposals are in attendance at the hearing and object to the tabling. Where such objections are raised, the motion to table shall be ruled out of order by the Moderator. The ruling of the Moderator shall be final and not subject to a point of order in accordance with Section 6.4.8. The motion to table is not debatable.

The motion to table must identify one of the following as to the location in the agenda when or where the code change proposal(s) will be considered:

1. To a specific date and time within the timeframe of the Code Change Agenda for the code change proposals under consideration, or
2. To a specific location in the Code Change Agenda for the code change proposals under consideration.

6.4.5.1 Tabling approved: A motion to table is subject to a 2/3 vote of those present.

6.4.5.2 Tabled code change proposals back to the floor: The Moderator shall bring the tabled code change proposal(s) back to the floor at the applicable time/agenda location in accordance with Section 6.4.5 Items 1 or 2. The testimony on the code change proposal shall resume at the point in the process where the tabling occurred.

6.4.6 Reconsideration: There shall be no reconsideration of a code change proposal after it has been voted on by the Committee in accordance with Section 6.6.

6.4.7 Time Limits: Time limits shall be established as part of the agenda for testimony on all code change proposals at the beginning of each hearing session. Each person testifying on a code change proposal shall be given equal time. In the interest of time and fairness to all hearing participants, the Moderator shall identify the time limits on debate at the beginning of

2.

Committee action shall be identified as such. The commenter shall be notified that the comment is considered an incomplete comment in accordance with Section 7.5.1 and the comment shall be held until the deficiencies are corrected. A copyright release in accordance with Section 4.3.5.5 shall be provided with the comment.

7.4.2 Code Reference: Each comment shall include the code change proposal number.

7.4.3 Multiple comments to a code change proposal. A commentor shall not submit multiple comments to the same code change proposal. When a commentor submits multiple comments to the same code change proposal, the comments shall be considered as incomplete comments and processed in accordance with Section 7.5.1. This restriction shall not apply to comments that attempt to address differing subject matter within a Code section.

7.4.4 Desired Action at the Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH #2): In order for a comment to be considered, the comment shall indicate the desired action at the Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH #2) as one of the following:

1. Approve the code change proposal As Submitted (AS), or
2. Approve the code change proposal As Modified by the Committee modification published in the Report of the First Committee Action Hearing (AMC #1) or a comment published in the Second Committee Action Hearing Agenda (AMC #2), or
3. Disapprove the code change proposal (D)

7.4.5 Supporting Information: The comment shall include a statement containing a reason and justification for the desired action on the code change proposal. Reasons and justification which are reviewed in accordance with Section 7.5 and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the code change proposal or first Committee action may be identified as such. The commenter shall be notified that the comment is considered an incomplete comment in accordance with Section 7.5.1 and the comment shall be held until the deficiencies are corrected. The commenter shall have the right to appeal this action in accordance with CP#1 – Appeals. A bibliography of any substantiating material submitted with a comment shall be published with the comment and the substantiating material shall be made available at the Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH #2). Supporting documentation may be provided via a link to a website provided by the commenter and included in the reason statement and bibliography. The reason statement shall include the date the link was created. e cal subsnklgermateri be publ1.1 (l)1.1 (iogr)0.7 (aphy)-ICc

requested when determined necessary by the Secretariat.

7.4.8 Submittal Deadline: ICC shall establish and post the submittal deadline for each cycle in accordance with Section 3.2. The posting of the deadline shall occur no later than 120 days prior to the comment deadline. Each comment shall be submitted online via cdpACCESS by the posted deadline. The submitter of a public comment is responsible for the proper and timely receipt of all pertinent materials by the Secretariat.

7.5 Review: The Secretariat shall be responsible for reviewing all submitted comments from an editorial and technical viewpoint similar to the review of code change proposals (see Section 5.2).

7.5.1

- 8.1.1 Code changes not receiving a comment:** The Committee action on code changes that do not receive a comment shall be the action taken at the First Committee Action Hearing (CAH #1) and shall not be on the agenda for the Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH #2). Such code changes will not be eligible for further modification as part of public comment consideration (see Section 7.1.1)
- 8.2 Committee:** The Committee shall be the same Committee that presided over the First Committee Action Hearing (CAH #1).
- 8.3 Date and Location:** The date and location of the Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH #2) shall be announced not less than 60 days prior to the date of the hearing.
- 8.4 Hearing conduct:** The Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH #2) shall be conducted in the same fashion as the First Committee Action Hearing (CAH #1) in accordance with Sections 6.2 through 6.4 and 6.6 and Section 8.4.1.
- 8.4.1 Floor discussion.** Discussion on code change proposals being individually considered shall be in accordance with Sections 8.4.1.1 through 8.4.1.4:
- 8.4.1.1 Initial Discussion:** The Committee action from the First Committee Action Hearing (CAH #1) shall be the basis of the initial discussion.
- 8.4.1.2 Introducing Comments:** At any point during the initial floor discussion , a comment published in the CAH#2 Agenda may be called to the floor. Each subsequent comment called to the floor, if any, shall be individually discussed before returning to the initial floor discussion. Comments in the CAH#2 agenda must be called to the floor for consideration.
- 8.4.1.3 Proponent testimony:** The Proponent of a comment is permitted to waive an initial statement. The Proponent of the comment shall be permitted to have the amount of time that would have been allocated during the initial testimony period plus the amount of time that would be allocated for rebuttal. Where a comment is submitted by multiple proponents, this provision shall permit only one proponent of the joint submittal to waive an initial statement.
- 8.4.1.4 Modifications:** Modifications to individual comments may be suggested from the floor by any person participating in the hearing, at any time during the floor discussion of the respective comment. The person proposing the modification, or his/her designee, is deemed to be the proponent of the modification. Modifications submission, criteria, testimony, and time limits shall comply with Sections 6.5.2.1 through 6.5.2.3.1
- 8.5 Report of the Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH #2):** The results of the Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH #2), including Committee action and reason, shall be posted on the ICC website not less than 60 days prior to the Public Comment Hearing, except as approved by the ICC Board.

be notified that the public comment is considered an incomplete public comment in accordance with Section 9.5.1 and the public comment shall be held until the deficiencies are corrected. A copyright release in accordance with Section 4.3.5.5 shall be provided with the public comment.

9.4.2 Code Reference: Each public comment shall include the code change proposal number.

9.4.3 Multiple public comments to a code change proposal. A proponent shall not submit multiple public comments to the same code change proposal. When a proponent submits multiple public comments to the same code change proposal, the public comments shall be considered as incomplete public comments and processed in accordance with Section 9.5.1. This restriction shall not apply to public comments that attempt to address differing subject matter within a code section.

9.4.4 Desired Final Action: In order for a public comment to be considered, the public comment shall indicate the desired Final Action as one of the following:

1. Approve the code change proposal As Submitted (AS), or
2. Approve the code change proposal As Modified by the Committee modification published in the Report of the First or Second Committee Action Hearing (AMC) or published in a public comment in the Public Comment Agenda (AMPC), or
3. Disapprove the code change proposal (D)

9.4.5 Supporting Information: The public comment shall include a statement containing a reason and justification for the desired Final Action on the code change proposal. Reasons and justification which are reviewed in accordance with Section 9.5 and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the code change proposal or Committee action may be identified as such. The public commenter shall be notified the 0.51 0.02r1.1 (denti.6 ht.7 (1(s)-1 5J001 0 Td671 eT(bvel)-1 (u)-13.7 (s)-1.6 (c)-ti-

proposals from Code Groups A and B considered at the Second Committee Action Hearing (CAH #2) in accordance with Section 8.1 are eligible for public comment consideration at the Public Comment Hearing and the Online Governmental Consensus Vote.

- 10.2 Date and Location:** The date and location of the Public Comment Hearing shall be announced not less than 60 days prior to the date of the hearing.
- 10.3 Moderator:** The ICC President shall appoint one or more Moderators who shall act as presiding officer for the Public Comment Hearing.
- 10.4 Public Comment Agenda:** The Public Comment Consent Agenda shall be comprised of code change proposals which have not received a public comment. The agenda for public testimony and individual consideration shall be comprised of proposals which have a public comment (see Section 9.1).
- 10.5 Procedure:** *The Robert's Rules of Order* shall be the formal procedure for the conduct of the Public Comment Hearing except as these Rules of Procedure may

- Comment Agenda for the code change proposals under consideration, or
2. To a specific location in the Public Comment Agenda for the code change proposals under consideration.

10.5.3.1 Tabling approved: A motion to table is subject to a 2/3 vote of those present.

10.5.3.2 Tabled code change proposals back to the floor: The Moderator shall bring the tabled code change proposal(s) back to the floor at the applicable time/agenda location in accordance with Section 10.5.3 Items 1 or 2. The testimony on the code change proposal shall resume at the point in the process where the tabling occurred.

10.5.4 Presentation of Material at the Public Comment Hearing: Information to be provided at the hearing shall be limited to verbal presentations. Each individual presenting information at the hearing shall state their name and affiliation and shall identify any entities or individuals they are representing in connection with their testimony. Audio-visual presentations are not permitted. Substantiating material submitted in accordance with Section 9.4.5

the Moderator shall identify the time limits on debate at the beginning of the Public Comment Hearing. The Moderator shall have the authority to adjust time limits as necessary in order to complete the hearing agenda.

10.5.8.1 Time Keeping: Keeping of time for testimony by an individual shall be by an automatic timing device. Remaining time shall be evident to the person testifying. Interruptions during testimony shall not be tolerated. The Moderator shall maintain appropriate decorum during all testimony.

10.5.9 Discussion and Voting: Discussion and voting on code change proposals being individually considered shall be in accordance with the following procedures and the voting majorities in Section 10.6:

10.5.9.1 Proponent testimony: The Proponent of a public comment is permitted to waive an initial statement. The Proponent of the public comment shall be permitted to have the amount of time that would have been allocated during the initial testimony period plus the amount of time that would be allocated for rebuttal. Where a public comment is submitted by multiple proponents, this provision shall permit only one proponent of the

Governmental Member Voting Representatives and Honorary Members in good standing who have been confirmed by ICC in accordance with the Electronic Voter Validation System. Such confirmations are required to be revalidated once each code development cycle. After initial validation, changes to the list of GMVRs for the remainder of the code development cycle shall be made in accordance with Section 12.2. Eligible Final Action voters in attendance at the Public Comment Hearing and those participating in the Online Governmental Consensus Vote shall

The proponent shall submit information which substantiates such assertion. This information will be considered by the Committee and will be included in the published code change proposal. Supporting documentation may be provided via a link to a website provided by the proponent and included in the cost substantiation statement. The cost substantiation statement shall include the date the link was created.

Any proposal submitted which does not include the requisite cost impact information shall be considered incomplete and shall not be processed.

1. The cost estimates provided shall be straightforward, allowing the Code Development Committee (CDC) members and eligible voting members, to rapidly assess their relative validity.
2. The cost estimates shall (a) have succinct information to allow the average person to understand how it was calculated (methodology), and (b) may provide reference for the publicly available data used (basis for variables).
3. The ICC may develop a cost impact guidance document to assist code change and comment submitters in complying effectively with the cost impact requirements.

Sections revised in July 12, 2024 revision to CP#28:

8.4.1.1

8.4.1.2

Section revised in April 6, 2024 revision to CP#28:

Section 12.2

Sections revised in December 8, 2023 revision to CP#28:

Section 1.4

Section 4.3.5.3

Section 6.2.2

Section 7.4.5

Section 8.4.

Section 17.1

Section added in October 7, 2023 revision to CP#28

Section 17 cost impacts and consolidates the language for reference throughout CP#28.

Sections revised in October 7, 2023 revision to CP#28:

Section 4.3.5.6

Section 7.4.6

Section 9.4.6

Sections added in December 7, 2022 revision to CP#28:

Sections 3.0, 7.0 and 8.0 added for the new process effective with the 2024/2026 Cycle, and coordination of process requirements throughout based on these new sections

Added Section 6.5.2.3.1

Added Sections 10.5.9.10.1 and 11.1.1

Sections revised in July 16, 2021 revision to CP#28:

8.2

Sections revised in December 3, 2020 revision to CP#28:

3.3.5.4
3.3.5.4.1
5.4.3
5.4.3.1
5.4.4.1
5.4.4.2
5.4.4.3
5.4.4.4
5.4.5
5.4.5.1
5.4.5.2
5.4.5.3
5.4.5.4
5.4.8
5.4.8.1

Sections revised in November 2, 2020 revisions to CP#28:

5.7 (removal of entire section)

2.5

5.1

5.4.2

5.8

6.1

6.4.1

6.6

7.4

Section revised in January 1, 2019 revision to CP#28:

8.3.1

Sections revised in September 9, 2017 revision to CP#28:

3.2

3.3.5.3

3.3.5.4

3.3.5.6

3.6.3.1.1

3.6.3.1.2

4.6

5.4.4

5.4.4.1